

4.5. Percentage Meeting Each of the Standards by Program Location

Table 4.5 presents the percentage of respondents with IFS measures that met or exceeded each of the three standards, by program location.

Table 4.5. Percent of Respondents Meeting or Exceeding Each of the Standards for Indicator #4%, by Program Location				
Program Location	N	Indicator 4A	Indicator 4B	Indicator 4C
Alexandria	37	78%	70%	92%
Alleghany-Highland	6	50%	50%	83%
Arlington County	8	63%	63%	100%
Augusta-Highland	14	93%	93%	100%
Blue Ridge	80	70%	70%	80%
Central Virginia	75	80%	76%	88%
Chesapeake	63	81%	75%	89%
Chesterfield	81	78%	74%	89%
Crater District	28	75%	71%	93%
Cumberland Mountain	20	80%	75%	95%
Danville-Pittsylvania	24	71%	71%	88%
DILENOWISCO	22	77%	77%	91%
Eastern Shore	15	67%	67%	93%
Fairfax	317	73%	70%	85%
Goochland-Powhatan	19	63%	58%	74%
Hampton-Newport News	42	67%	67%	86%
Hanover County	25	72%	72%	92%
Harrisonburg-Rockingham	34	68%	65%	76%
Heartland	21	76%	67%	86%
Henrico	24	75%	67%	83%
Highlands	20	95%	95%	95%
Loudoun County	72	64%	61%	75%
Middle Peninsula Northern Neck	23	78%	78%	91%
Mount Rogers	21	90%	90%	90%
New River Valley	31	77%	74%	97%
Norfolk	43	56%	53%	63%
Piedmont	23	39%	35%	83%
Portsmouth	9	89%	89%	100%
Prince William, Manassas, Manassas Park	87	68%	66%	77%
Rappahannock Area	56	68%	66%	75%
Rappahannock-Rapidan	16	75%	56%	88%
Richmond	33	73%	70%	88%
Roanoke Valley	43	88%	79%	91%
Rockbridge	21	71%	71%	86%
Shenandoah Valley	34	79%	76%	82%
Southside	19	84%	79%	89%
Staunton-Waynesboro	20	75%	60%	95%
Virginia Beach	62	69%	65%	77%
Western Tidewater	34	59%	59%	71%
Williamsburg	38	89%	89%	95%