



SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL RESULTS ON VIRGINIA'S MONITORING INDICATORS Reporting Period: (July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007)



In accordance with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act 2004 (IDEA), each state must have in place a [State Performance Plan \(SPP\)](#) to evaluate the state's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of IDEA. The SPP is a six-year plan (FFY 2005 – FFY 2011). The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) in the U.S. Department of Education requires that states report their performance annually to OSEP in the [Annual Performance Report \(APR\)](#). States are also required on an annual basis to report publicly on the performance of each local early intervention system (EIS) compared to the State Targets on some of the SPP indicators (see below).

Guidance on use of the information presented in this chart:

- **Timely Initiation of Services:** Percent of infants and toddlers with Individualized Family Service Plans (IFSP's) who receive the early intervention services (EIS) listed on their IFSP's within 21-calendar days from the date the parent/guardian signs the IFSP. Calculations are based on the number of children who receive ALL EIS listed on their IFSP within 21-calendar days. The data source for this information was a local record review completed in August 2007 on children who had their initial or annual IFSP between April 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007.
- **Primary Service Setting:** Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSP's who primarily receive early intervention services in the home, community settings or locations where typically developing peers are located. The data source for this information was the "Primary Service Setting Data" report from the Infant Toddler On-Line Tracking System dated December 1, 2006.
- **Family Outcomes:** Percentage of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:
 - a. Know their rights;
 - b. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and
 - c. Help their children develop and learn.The Infant & Toddler Connection of Virginia disseminated a family survey to families enrolled in Virginia's Part C early intervention system in the Fall, 2007. The Family Survey includes two rating scales developed and validated by the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM). The Impact on Family Scale (IFS) measures the extent to which early intervention helped families achieve positive outcomes, including the three outcomes (a, b, c) listed above. The Family-Centered Services Scale (FCSS) measures the quality of family-centered services provided to families.
- **Child Find:**
 - **0-1 years:** Percentage of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSP's compared to the same age within the local population. Children served by the Public Schools ages 0-1 year are included in the calculations. These percentages are required by IDEA 2004 to be compared to the state target.
 - **0-3 years:** Percentage of infants and toddlers birth to 3 years with IFSP's compared to the same age within the local population. Children served by the Public Schools ages 0-3 years are included in the calculations. These percentages are also required by IDEA 2004 to be compared to the state target.The data source for the local population data is from http://www.ojdp.ncjrs.org/ojstatbb/ezapop/asp/comparison_selection.asp?selState=1 December 2006. The data source for the Child Count was "Percentage of Children Per Council by Age Group and Race of Infant by Age"; Infants Active on 12/1/2006" from the Infant Toddler On-Line Tracking System and the December 1, 2006 Child Count report from the Department of Education (number of children served by ages 0, 1 and 2 years by local school districts).



**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL RESULTS
ON VIRGINIA'S MONITORING INDICATORS**
Reporting Period: (July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007)



- **45-Day Timeline:** Percentage of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSP's for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within 45-calendar days from the date of the referral to the Part C system. The data source for this information was "Children Evaluated Eligible", "Children Exceeding 45 Day Timeline with Mitigating Circumstances" and "Referrals Not Yet Evaluated" from the Infant Toddler On-Line Tracking System dated April 1, 2007 – June 30, 2007.
- **Transition:** Percentage of children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their 3rd birthday.
 - **a. Steps and Services listed on the IFSP:** Percentage of IFSP's that had had documentation of the transition steps and activities from the initial IFSP to the child's discharge from Part C services. Transition is to be discussed at each IFSP meeting and review of the IFSP.
 - **b. Notification to the Local Education Authority (LEA):** The referred number of children exiting Part C and potentially eligible for Part B where notification to the LEA occurred. Information required in the notification includes: child's name, address, phone number and date of birth. **The purpose of this activity is not to make a referral to the LEA but a Child Find activity for the Part B system (public schools).**
 - **c. Transition Planning Conference:** Number of children exiting Part C and potentially eligible for Part B where the transition conference occurred with parent consent. The purpose of the transition conference is to provide families with the community options available for their child when Part C is no longer serving the child. This meeting must be held at least 90 days prior to and not later than 9 months before the child's discharge from the Part C system or the date a child turns age 3 years.

The data source for this information was a local record review completed in August 2007 on children who have exited or will exit the Part C system between April 1, 2007 and September 30, 2007.

- To view the performance of an individual early intervention system, click on the name of the early intervention system.
- To find out more information about each of the monitoring indicators, please refer to these documents:
 - Annual Performance Report (APR) www.infantva.org/documents/ovw-OSEP-PartC-APR-FFY2006-final2008.pdf and,
 - State Performance Plan (SPP) www.infantva.org/documents/ovw-OSEP-PartC-SPP-2005-2010-amend2008.pdf
- Virginia does not report local results for the Family Survey Indicators in cases where the total number of families responding is fewer than 15 (N<15)




**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL RESULTS
ON VIRGINIA'S MONITORING INDICATORS**
Reporting Period: (July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007)



Monitoring Indicators	Timely Initiation of Services	Primary Service Setting	Family Outcomes			Child Find		45-Day Timeline	Transition		
			a. Know Their Rights	b. Communicate Needs	c. Help Child Develop & Learn	0-1	0-3		a. Steps and Service	b. Notification to LEA	c. Transition
Data Collection Timeframe:	4/1–6/30/07	12/1/06	Fall 2007			12/1/2006		4/1–6/30/07	4/1/07–9/30/07		
State Target %:	100%	98.425%	65.8%	61.0%	77.65%	.65%	2.05%	100%	100%	100%	100%
State Results %:	81%	99.40%	67.2%	64.7%	85.7%	.53%	1.78%	96%	96%	93%	95%
Alleghany-Highlands	100%	100%	(N<15)			2.24%	5.68%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Alexandria	30%	100%	53.8%	53.8%	61.5%	.47%	1.6%	86%	100%	80%	100%
Arlington	25%	100%	58.2%	55.2%	79.1%	.81%	2.69%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Blue Ridge (Region 10)	100%	100%	70.3%	67.6%	86.5%	.24%	1.5%	100%	87%	100%	93%
Central Virginia	60%	100%	51.1%	51.1%	66.0%	.96%	2.15%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Chesapeake	80%	99%	69.2%	67.3%	76.9%	44%	2.05%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Chesterfield	83%	100%	68.8%	67.2%	73.4%	.68%	2.07%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Colonial	100%	100%	71.1%	71.1%	81.6%	.76%	2.94%	97%	100%	100%	100%
Cumberland Mountain	100%	100%	76.2%	76.2%	90.5%	.58%	1.6%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Danville-Pittsylvania	70%	100%	71.4%	71.4%	85.7%	.34%	1.24%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Dickenson	80%	100%	(N<15)			2.13%	2.7%	100%	100%	100%	50%
Eastern Shore	80%	100%	(N<15)			.59%	2.49%	100%	100%	100%	93%
Fairfax-Falls Church	47%	99%	62.0%	58.5%	71.6%	.56%	1.72%	69%	100%	72%	100%
Goochland-Powhatan	100%	100%	(N<15)			.93%	2.86%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hampton - Newport News	90%	100%	68.9%	64.4%	73.3%	.73%	1.5%	99%	100%	100%	100%
Hanover	100%	100%	65.2%	65.2%	69.6%	.78%	1.75%	96%	100%	100%	82%
Harrisonburg-Rockingham	100%	100%	61.5%	61.5%	80.8%	1.0%	1.99%	100%	75%	89%	80%
Henrico	95%	99%	64.4%	56.3%	74.7%	1.0%	2.24%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Highlands	100%	96%	(N<15)			.29%	1.28%	100%	90%	100%	38%
LENOWISCO (PD 1)	100%	90%	(N<15)			.20%	1.44%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Loudoun	85%	100%	60.0%	56.0%	68.0%	.29%	1.06%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Middle-Peninsula	90%	99%	89.5%	73.7%	100%	.99%	2.76%	96%	100%	100%	100%
Mount Rogers	100%	97%	57.7%	57.7%	84.6%	1.47%	1.89%	100%	100%	100%	100%



**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL RESULTS
ON VIRGINIA'S MONITORING INDICATORS**
Reporting Period: (July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007)



Monitoring Indicators	Timely Initiation of Services	Primary Service Setting	Family Outcomes			Child Find		45-Day Timeline	Transition		
			a. Know Their Rights	b. Communicate Needs	c. Help Child Develop & Learn	0-1	0-3		a. Steps and Service	b. Notification to LEA	c. Transition
Data Collection Timeframe:	4/1–6/30/07	12/1/06	Fall 2007			12/1/2006		4/1–6/30/07	4/1/07–9/30/07		
State Target %:	100%	98.425%	65.8%	61.0%	77.65%	.65%	2.05%	100%	100%	100%	100%
State Results %:	81%	99.40%	67.2%	64.7%	85.7%	.53%	1.78%	96%	96%	93%	95%
New River Valley	90%	100%	64.5%	61.3%	77.4%	.84%	1.98%	100%	100%	100%	88%
Norfolk	90%	99%	72.0%	72.0%	90.0%	.66%	1.98%	100%	100%	100%	93%
PD-14	100%	100%	(N<15)			.37%	1.73%	100%	100%	100%	89%
PD-19 (Crater District)	93%	98%	72.2%	72.2%	100%	.28%	1.23%	95%	100%	100%	100%
Piedmont	100%	100%	61.9%	61.9%	85.7%	.67%	1.54%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Portsmouth	80%	91%	55.6%	50.0%	77.8%	.91%	1.96%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Prince William	50%	99%	66.7%	62.3%	71.0%	.42%	1.36%	99%	100%	100%	100%
Rappahannock Area	100%	100%	51.1%	51.1%	66.7%	.59%	1.49%	99%	95%	100%	100%
Rappahannock-Rapidan	90%	100%	44.4%	40.7%	51.9%	1.01%	2.06%	100%	100%	92%	67%
Richmond City	85%	99%	67.6%	64.9%	78.4%	.82%	2.02%	99%	85%	83%	100%
Roanoke Valley	85%	100%	57.7%	53.8%	73.1%	.49%	2.12%	100%	100%	100%	92%
Rockbridge Area	100%	100%	(N<15)			1.93%	3.75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Shenandoah Valley	35%	97%	52.4%	47.6%	71.4%	.49%	1.56%	95%	65%	27%	100%
Southside Valley	100%	100%	(N<15)			.80%	1.52%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Valley	100%	100%	68.2%	63.6%	72.7%	.46%	1.93%	100%	73%	43%	50%
Virginia Beach	60%	100%	69.0%	68.0%	77.0%	.45%	1.56%	100%	89%	64%	88%
Western Tidewater	95%	100%	68.6%	60.0%	85.7%	.65%	2.19%	100%	100%	100%	100%