

SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL RESULTS ON VIRGINIA'S MONITORING INDICATORS FFY 2005 (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006)



In accordance with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act 2004 (IDEA), each state must have in place a [State Performance Plan \(SPP\)](#) to evaluate the state's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of IDEA. The SPP is a six-year plan and is based on 14 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) in the U.S. Department of Education requires that states report their performance annually to OSEP in the [Annual Performance Report \(APR\)](#). States are also required on an annual basis to report publicly on the performance of each local early intervention system (EIS) compared to the State Targets on some of the SPP indicators (see below).

Guidance on use of the information presented in this chart:

- **1. Timely Initiation of Services:** Percent of infants and toddlers with Individualized Family Service Plans (IFSP's) who receive the early intervention services (EIS) listed on their IFSP's within 21-calendar days from the date the parent/guardian signs the IFSP. Calculations are based on the number of children who receive **ALL** EIS listed on their IFSP within 21-calendar days. The data source for this information was a local record review completed in August 2006 on children who had their initial or annual IFSP between November 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006.
- **2. Primary Service Setting:** Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSP's who primarily receive early intervention services in the home, community settings or locations where typically developing peers are located. The data source for this information was the "Primary Service Setting Data" report from the Infant Toddler On-Line Tracking System dated December 1, 2005.
- **3. Child Find:** (Data collected on December 1, 2005)
 - a. **0-1 years:** Percentage of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSP's compared to the same age within the local population. Children served by the Public Schools ages 0-1 year are included in the calculations. These percentages must be compared to the state target.
 - b. **0-3 years:** Percentage of infants and toddlers birth to 3 years with IFSP's compared to the same age within the local population. Children served by the Public Schools ages 0-3 years are included in the calculations. These percentages must be compared to the state target.

The data source for the local population data is from http://www.ojdp.ncjrs.org/ojstatbb/ezapop/asp/comparison_selection.asp?selState=1 December 2005. The data source for the Child Count was "Percentage of Children Per Council by Age Group and Race of Infant by Age"; Infants Active on 12/1/2005" from the Infant Toddler On-Line Tracking System and the December 1, 2005 Child Count report from the Department of Education (number of children served by ages 0, 1 and 2 years by local school districts). States are federally required to report child count information based on the December 1 child count.

- **4. 45-day timeline:** Percentage of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSP's for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within 45-calendar days from the date of the referral to the Part C system. The data source for this information was "Number of Days from Referral to IFSP by Council", "Children Exceeding 45 Day Timeline with Mitigating Circumstances" and "Referrals Not Yet Evaluated" from the Infant Toddler On-Line Tracking System dated April 1, 2006 – June 30, 2006.
- **5. Transition:** Percentage of children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their 3rd birthday.
 - a. **Steps and Services listed on the IFSP.** Percentage of IFSP's that had documentation of the transition steps and activities from the initial IFSP to the child's discharge from Part C services. Transition is to be discussed at each IFSP meeting and review of the IFSP.
 - b. **Notification to the Local Education Authority (LEA).** The percentage of children exiting Part C and potentially eligible for Part B where notification to the LEA occurred unless the parent declines. Information **required in the notification includes: child's name, address, phone number and date of birth.**



**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL RESULTS
ON VIRGINIA'S MONITORING INDICATORS
FFY 2005 (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006)**



- c. **Transition Planning Conference.** Percentage of children exiting Part C and potentially eligible for Part B where the transition conference occurred with parent consent. The purpose of the transition conference is to provide families with the community options available for their child when Part C is no longer serving the child. This meeting must be held at least 90 days prior to and not later than 9 months before the child's discharge from the Part C system.

The data source for this information was a local record review completed in August 2006 on children who have exited or will exit the Part C system between January 1, 2006 and September 30, 2006.

- To view the performance of an individual early intervention system, click on the name of the early intervention system. You will be directed to an individual profile for that system.
- To find out more information about each of the monitoring indicators, click on the indicator and you will be directed to the section within Virginia's Annual Performance Report related to the monitoring indicator.

Monitoring Indicators	1. Timely Services	2. Primary Service Setting	3. Child Find		4. 45-day timeline	5. Transition		
			a. 0 – 1	b. 0 – 3		a. Steps and Services	b. Notify LEA	c. Conference
Data Collection Timeframe:	11/1/05 – 6/30/06		12/1/05		4/1/06 – 6/30/06	1/1/06 – 9/30/06		
State Target %	100	98.425	.62	1.9	100	100	100	100
State Results %	72	99	.51	1.72	98	86	89	87
Alleghany-Highlands	90	100	0	3.30	100	100	89	100
Alexandria	54	100	.49	1.35	100	67	63	50
Arlington	56	100	.73	2.56	98	100	98	86
Blue Ridge	62	100	.41	1.60	100	89	100	86
Central Virginia	57	100	.83	1.85	100	74	77	100
Chesapeake	82	100	.58	2.06	100	98	100	100
Chesterfield	52	100	.72	1.90	93	80	100	70
Colonial	96	100	.81	2.68	100	100	100	93



**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL RESULTS
ON VIRGINIA'S MONITORING INDICATORS
FFY 2005 (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006)**



Monitoring Indicators	1. Timely Services	2. Primary Service Setting	3. Child Find		4. 45-day timeline	5. Transition		
			a. 0 – 1	b. 0 – 3		a. Steps and Services	b. Notify LEA	c. Conference
Data Collection Timeframe:	11/1/05 – 6/30/06		12/1/05		4/1/06 – 6/30/06	1/1/06 – 9/30/06		
State Target %	100	98.425	.62	1.9	100	100	100	100
State Results %	72	99	.51	1.72	98	86	89	87
Cumberland Mountain	78	93	.80	1.52	100	100	100	100
Danville-Pittsylvania	90	100	.39	.89	100	100	100	89
Dickenson	60	100	.58	1.70	100	0	100	100
Eastern Shore	85	98	1.04	3.07	91	17	100	100
Fairfax	52	99.5	.71	1.92	99	68	96	75
Goochland-Powhatan	100	96	.87	2.52	100	100	100	100
Hampton-Newport News	62	100	.42	1.35	100	74	100	100
Hanover	100	100	.44	2.18	100	78	100	100
Harrisonburg-Rockingham	52	98	.71	2.51	100	70	80	83
Henrico	91	100	.67	2.12	100	100	100	100
Highlands	50	100	1.66	1.58	100	67	100	100
LENOWISCO/PD-1	100	87	.59	1.88	100	100	100	100
Loudoun	85	100	.43	1.09	100	96	100	100
Middle-Peninsula- NN	81	100	1.16	2.20	100	100	100	100
Mount Rogers	40	81	.68	1.59	100	100	86	100
New River Valley	79	100	.60	1.52	100	100	100	100
Norfolk	78	100	.34	1.93	100	91	100	100



**SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL RESULTS
ON VIRGINIA'S MONITORING INDICATORS
FFY 2005 (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006)**



Monitoring Indicators	1. Timely Services	2. Primary Service Setting	3. Child Find		4. 45-day timeline	5. Transition		
			a. 0 – 1	b. 0 – 3		a. Steps and Services	b. Notify LEA	c. Conference
Data Collection Timeframe:	11/1/05 – 6/30/06		12/1/05		4/1/06 – 6/30/06	1/1/06 – 9/30/06		
State Target %	100	98.425	.62	1.9	100	100	100	100
State Results %	72	99	.51	1.72	98	86	89	87
PD-14	70	100	.27	1.21	100	100	100	100
PD-19	100	100	.35	1.20	92	75	100	100
Piedmont	100	100	.60	1.52	100	100	100	100
Portsmouth	67	99	.24	1.75	44	89	100	100
Prince William	58	98	.44	1.14	100	82	92	95
Rappahannock	67	99	.41	1.56	100	95	100	NA
Rappahannock-Rapidan	80	100	.79	1.91	100	44	0	50
Richmond City	59	100	.60	1.66	95	83	100	100
Roanoke Valley	87	100	.72	1.97	100	100	100	100
Rockbridge	92	100	2.36	3.45	100	100	100	100
Shenandoah Valley	76	95	.49	1.52	82	56	89	100
Southside	60	100	.38	1.20	100	100	100	80
Valley	42	100	.88	1.85	100	100	100	100
Virginia Beach	72	98	.45	1.41	99	96	7	50
Western Tidewater	100	100	.57	2.09	100	100	100	100